A look into the Mobile Messaging Black Box

33rd Chaos Communication Congress #33c3

Roland Schilling aNerdingByDoing Frieder Steinmetz atwillnix December 27, 2016

Hamburg University of Technology Security in Distributed Applications



You're at a party

- Friend approaches you and needs to tell you something in private
- What do you expect when you say private?
- You enter a separate room, you trust the location
- What does a separate room offer you?



You are now alone in a closed room with your Friend

- · Both of you have absolute Confidentiality that you are alone
- Nobody can overhear your talk
- Your exchange is completely private

We call this confidentiality



Since you're long-time friends, you're absolutely sure, whom you're talking to

- · Nobody can impersonate your friend or you, without the other noticing
- You're talking directly, without a phone or webcam in between

We call this authenticity

The room you're in is small enough that you can always see each other

- You know that the words you speak are received just as you spoke them
- There is no way either of you hears something other than the other says

We call this integrity

Suppose somebody steps into the room

- They could overhear your conversation
- They would only learn the contents of this particular conversation
- They would not learn anything about past conversations you had

We call this forward secrecy

 $\rightarrow\,$ After leaving they would not be able to listen to any future conversations you might have



It's a One-Time Talk



There are no witnesses in the room

- Either of you can later deny to other having made any statement
- Neither of you can prove to other that any of you have made a particular statement

We call this deniability

Messaging – Reality Check



We started with a conversation analogy to identify our expectations of messaging

 \rightarrow Actually postal services are better to look at messaging from a technical point of view.





Example: Traditional Messaging

What if our party conversation had taken place via SMS?

your providers (and other people on the same network)

- would know the contents of your exchange: no confidentiality
- · could change the contents of your exchange: no integrity
- could reroute your messages and impersonate either of you: no authentication
- do not guarantee any secrecy, so we have neither forward secrecy nor future secrecy
- $\rightarrow\,$ We could argue having deniability though.

ightarrow Messaging translates badly to our offline communication expectation $\overline{lashiftarrow}$

From Postcards to Letters





From Postcards to Letters





Symmetric Encryption:

 \rightarrow Encryption and decryption with the same key



Symmetric Encryption:

 \rightarrow Encryption and decryption with the same key



Symmetric Encryption:

 \rightarrow Encryption and decryption with the same key



Asymmetric Encryption:

 \rightarrow Encryption and decryption with different keys



Symmetric Encryption:

 \rightarrow Encryption and decryption with the same key



Asymmetric Encryption:

 \rightarrow Encryption and decryption with different keys



Public-Key Cryptography – In a Nutshell



- · Both parties publish their identities and public keys
- Any message can be encrypted with anyone's public key and only be decrypted with its corresponding secret key



Public-Key Cryptography – In a Nutshell



- · Both parties publish their identities and public keys
- Any message can be encrypted with anyone's public key and only be decrypted with its corresponding secret key



Public-Key Cryptography – In a Nutshell



- · Both parties publish their identities and public keys
- Any message can be encrypted with anyone's public key and only be decrypted with its corresponding secret key





Recap

Asymmetric Encryption gives us IDs but is very expensive.

Symmetric Encryption is cheap, but a key has to be shared by all participants before communication starts.

Key Establishment allows us to create symmetric keys based on asymmetric key pairs.

But there's more...







Confidentiality





Deniability













Recap

Our key establishment protocol gives us:

- Confidentiality
- Deniability
- Authenticity

We don't have:

- Forward Secrecy
- Future Secrecy

 \rightarrow We are ignoring Integrity here, but we have that, too.

Cryptography is rarely, if ever, the solution to a security problem. Cryptography is a translation mechanism, usually converting a communications security problem into a key management problem.

—Dieter Gollmann

Key and ID Management



- A phone number?
- An email address?
- Something else?

- A phone number?
 - \rightarrow Can identify a user. But is also considered personal information.
- An email address?
- Something else?

- A phone number?
 - \rightarrow Can identify a user. But is also considered personal information.
- An email address?
 - ightarrow Same thing as with phone number. But a temporary email can be used.
- Something else?

• A phone number?

 \rightarrow Can identify a user. But is also considered personal information.

• An email address?

ightarrow Same thing as with phone number. But a temporary email can be used.

Something else?

 \rightarrow Dedicated IDs offer anonymous usage, but ID ownership must be verifyable.

• A phone number?

 \rightarrow Can identify a user. But is also considered personal information.

• An email address?

ightarrow Same thing as with phone number. But a temporary email can be used.

Something else?

 \rightarrow Dedicated IDs offer anonymous usage, but ID ownership must be verifyable.

ightarrow Dedicated IDs are preferrable. But only if we find a way to verify ID ownership
How does Alice know which is Bob's public key?





Mobile Messaging Key Management



Mobile Messaging Key Management



We have now solved the Authentiticy problem

- User can be identified by their phone number or email address
 - \rightarrow But they have dedicated IDs.
 - \rightarrow Personal verification is possible.

The remaining unsolved problem is a user changing their ID.

- ightarrow At this point, the problem starts anew.
- \rightarrow We will get back to that later.

Everybody on the network can see:

- the sender of the message
- \cdot the intended receiver of the message $\overline{}$



Solution: wrap encrypted message in a second layer of encryption and address it only to the message server.







The message server will remove the outer layer and add a new one, targeted at the receiver.



This leaves us with an encrypted end-to-end tunnel, transmitted through two transport layer encryption tunnels.



The message server still knows both communication partners!

We can obfuscate the size of a message with padding

We can obfuscate the size of a message with padding







Threema's Architecture



Threema Fingerprints



Threema offers dedicated IDs

- Users may provider their phone number and email.
- If provided, phone number and email are used for identification with the directory server.
- If no additional data is provided, IDs can only be exchanged manually.
- In either case, manual verification using QR codes is encouraged.
- The app permanently tracks the verification status of each peer ID.

NaCl and Threema



NaCl and Threema





Exchange a set of ephemeral keys and verify each others long term identity keys.



Client Hello Packet

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15

Ephemeral Client Public Key

Client Nonce Prefix

- Client generates a ephemeral key pair
- Client generates random nonce prefix





- · Server generates ephemeral key pair
- Server generates random nonce
- Ciphertext encrypted with Server Nonce, Client
 Ephemeral Key and Server Long-Term Key



Client Authentication Packet



- Outer Encryption with ephemeral Keys
- Ciphertext links clients ephemeral key pair to it's long term key pair



Server Acknowledgement Packet



- Server comfirms everything worked fine by encrypting something with both ephemeral keys
- We have established a forward secure channel between app and messaging server.



0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31

Pkt Type	Sender		Recipient	Message ID	Time	
	Flags		} Header			
			Nonce			
			Ciphertext			

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31

		lder	Recipient	Message ID	Time	
	Flags		> Header			
			J			
Te		o∨†				
	TEAL		V	Variable-length Padding		

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31































Basic messaging functionality achieved.



Group creation message



Group rename message



Implementation of Addon Features

Captions in Image Messages






Implementation of Addon Features

Quoted Messages







Our reverse-engineering efforts led to a re-implementation of Threema's API.

- Fully Threema-compatible
- Almost feature-complete
- Completely undocumented (yet)

You can find the repositories at this location: https://github.com/o3ma

Thank You!

Roland Schilling



schilling@tuhh.de

@NerdingByDoing

Frieder Steinmetz

🔀 frieder.steinmetz@tuhh.de

🔰 Ətwillnix

Beamer Theme: Color Theme: Icons:

Metropolis by Matthias volgelsang Owl by Ross Chirchley The BIG collection by Sergey Demushkin Foundation Icon Fonts 3 by ZURB

NaCl slide was adapted from a figure in Threema's Cryptography Whitepaper Threema Screenshots taken from the Threema press package

Thanks to Jan Ahrens and Philipp Berger – their work has made ours somewhat easier Thanks to Maximilian Köstler for his initial work on Threema

iviessa	ige Pa	іскет	(Threema P	rotocol Lay	er)	
0 1 2 3	4 5 6 7	8 9 10 11	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	28 29 30 31	
Pkt Type	Ser	der	Recipient	Message ID	Time)
	Flags		Public Nickn	ame (string)		> Header
			No	nce		J
			Ciphertext			

• Only the MSB of *Flags is used*

Message Packet on the Wire



Text Message



Image Message



- Blob is symmetrically encrypted using Key and uploaded to asset server.
- Image captions are stored inside the image's EXIF data. These data leak upon creating such an image while the "save media to gallery" option is enabled.

Audio Message



Group	Mess	sage F	'ack	et											
0 1 2 3	4 5 6 7	8 9 10 11	12 13 14	15 16	ŝ 17	18 19	20 2	1 22 3	23 24	25 26	27	28 29	30 31		
Pkt Type								Mes)
	Flags Public Nickname (string)														Alessage Header
	Nonce														j
Cre	Creator ID Group ID Taut													'	J
	lext														Ciphertext
	Variable-length Padding														j

Group Image Message

0	1	2 3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14 1	5 10	51	7 1	18 1	9 20	21	1 22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31		
																				Me										J	
					ags																									ł	Message Header
																														J	
0×41		Cr	ea	tor	IC)				G	ou		ID								Bl	эb	ID)						J	
		Size														K														ł	Ciphertext
						Variable-length Padding											J														

Group Picture Update



0 1 2 3 Pkt Type	4 5	67 Ser	ء ہ ider) 10	11	12 1	3 14 Re	15 eci	16 pie	17 nt	18	19 :	20 2	21 : M	22 2 ess	a g	e I	26 D	27	28	29 T i i	30 ne	31			
	Fla																								> Message H	eac
																								1	J	
5	roup I	D								Gr		un I	Ma	m	her											
										Gr	ou	i p i														

Create/Update Group (members)

Acknowledgement Packet to Server

Client-Server Handshake

Client Hello

 $0 \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad 4 \quad 5 \quad 6 \quad 7 \quad 8 \quad 9 \quad 10 \quad 11 \quad 12 \quad 13 \quad 14 \quad 15$

Ephemeral Client Public Key

Client Nonce Prefix



Ciphertext

Client Authentication Packet

r	Server no
Ephemer	n Nonce iphertext (

Server Acknowledgement



PKCS7 Padding

													03	03	03
												04	04	04	04
								08	08	08	08	08	08	08	08
16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16
											05	05	05	05	05
										06	06	06	06	06	06





Quoted Text Message

