

# Logical Language Lojban

## A Hackers' Spoken Language

Sven Moritz Hallberg  
sm@khjk.org

Karl Hans Janke Kollaborativ

22C3, 27–30 December 2005





# What Is Lojban?

- constructed *spoken* (human-human) language
- based on predicate logic
- relatively young (1950's – 1990's)





# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Language Basics
  - Alphabet And Pronunciation
  - Basic Sentences
  - Obtaining Your Objects
- 3 Expressive Features
  - Tenses
  - Questions
  - Compound Words
- 4 Lojban And Computers
- 5 Conclusion





# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Language Basics
  - Alphabet And Pronunciation
  - Basic Sentences
  - Obtaining Your Objects
- 3 Expressive Features
  - Tenses
  - Questions
  - Compound Words
- 4 Lojban And Computers
- 5 Conclusion





# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Language Basics
  - Alphabet And Pronunciation
  - Basic Sentences
  - Obtaining Your Objects
- 3 Expressive Features
  - Tenses
  - Questions
  - Compound Words
- 4 Lojban And Computers
- 5 Conclusion





# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Language Basics
  - Alphabet And Pronunciation
  - Basic Sentences
  - Obtaining Your Objects
- 3 Expressive Features
  - Tenses
  - Questions
  - Compound Words
- 4 Lojban And Computers
- 5 Conclusion



# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Language Basics
  - Alphabet And Pronunciation
  - Basic Sentences
  - Obtaining Your Objects
- 3 Expressive Features
  - Tenses
  - Questions
  - Compound Words
- 4 Lojban And Computers
- 5 Conclusion



# What Is Lojban?

- conlang
- predicate logic
  - *person is-going to-dest from-source*
- developed since 1955
- current state finalized in 1997
  - grammar and base vocabulary frozen







# Features

- unambiguous grammar
- phonetic spelling
- structurally simple
  - easy to learn
- 1350 root words
  - combinable to millions
- regular – no exceptions





# Why Was Lojban Created?

- research into Sapir-Whorf hypothesis
  - “The structure of one’s language constrains one’s thinking.”
  - Null hypothesis: It doesn’t.
  - Will native Lojbanists exhibit “new” thought patterns?



# My Aims With This Talk

- show that...
  - Lojban is of more than scientific interest
  - shows typical “hacker values”
- give a fun talk
- **motivate actual use** of Lojban
  - not a mobilization speech though





# Design Goals wrt. Sapir-Whorf

- same expressive power of natural languages
- *but* significantly different structure
- remove restrictions on creative and clear thought/communication





# Why Else Is Lojban Interesting?

- human-computer interaction
- more precise/powerful expression
  - full set of logical connectives
  - mathematical expressions properly expressible
- robust over noisy channels
- candidate for an international language





# The Lojban Alphabet

' , . a b c d e f g i j k l m n o p r s t u v x y z

- basic latin alphabet
- 'h', 'q', and 'w' are **not** Lojban letters
- punctuation characters “ ’ , . ” represent **sounds**
- no question/exclamation mark, etc.



# Pronunciation of the Vowels

a	[a]	father
e	[ɛ]	bet, lens
i	[i]	green
o	[o]	joke, note
u	[u]	boot
y	[ə]	above

- vowel length doesn't matter





# Pronunciation of the Consonants (excerpt)

c	[ʃ]	<b>shirt</b>
j	[ʒ]	<b>measure</b>
s	[s]	<b>soldier</b>
v	[v]	<b>voice</b>
x	[x]	<b>loch, Ach</b>
z	[z]	<b>magazine</b>

- variations permitted, as long as sounds are distinguishable
- In particular, 'r' can be **any rhotic** sound.







# Pronunciation of Punctuation

- ' [h] ahead
- . [ʔ] pause/stop
- , non-standard syllable break

- examples later...





# Basic Sentence Structure

*person comes-to to-dest from-source*

- predicate (“bridi”)
- arguments (“sumti”)
- syntax:  $x_1$  **R**  $x_2$   $x_3$  ...
  - asserts that  $x_1 \dots x_n$  are in relation  $R$
  - often matches subject-verb-object form of natlangs





# The Cast

- Starring Pesco and Maya.
- Names will be “lojbanized”.
  - transcribe phonetically
  - must end in a consonant
  - terminate with a pause (‘.’)
- original name spelling could be used
  - but requires an “escape”





# The Cast – Lojbanized

	Lojban name	sumti form
Maya	ma,iar.	la ma,iar.
Pesco	peskos.	la peskos.

- la = flag word: “name follows”





# An Example Relation

klama – to come/go to

- max. 5 (direct) arguments
- argument order is significant
- **place structure** defines argument meanings

klama –  $x_1$  comes to  $x_2$  from  $x_3$  via  $x_4$  using  $x_5$





# Our First Sentence

- R = klama
  - $x_1$  = la peskos.
  - $x_2$  = la ma,iar.

la peskos. [cu] klama la ma,iar.

- cu = flag word: “bridi follows”
  - usually elidable
- obvious/unimportant trailing arguments elidable





# Pro-Sumti

- analogous to pronouns
- use in any sumti place

mi me

do you

ti this here

ta that there





# Articles

le *bridi* [ku]

- le turns bridi relationships into sumti
- very close in meaning to “the”
- terminator ku usually elidable







# Article Example

gunka –  $x_1$  works on  $x_2$  [activity] with goal  $x_3$

- “le *bridi*” means some thing(s) that would fit the  $x_1$  place of *bridi*.
- le gunka – “the worker(s)”
- Note: neither singular nor plural implied!





# Tenses

- temporal
- spatial
- realized by attaching modifier words to the bridi





# Temporal Modifiers

pu in the past

ca in the present

ba in the future

la peskos. ba klama la ma,iar.

- Pesco **will go** to Maya at some time in the future.
- relative to the time of speaking





# Questions

- “Is it true that ...?”
- fill-in-the-blank(s)
  - “What is in relation ...?”
  - “In which relation(s) are ...?”





# Truth-Value Questions

- prefix entire statement with xu

xu la ma,iar. klama la peskos.

- “Does Maya come to Pesco?”





# Fill-In-The-Blank for Relations

- put mo in the bridi place

la lojban. mo

- “What is Lojban?”
- (“In which relationships . . . ?”)





# Fill-In-The-Blank for Arguments

- put ma in one or more sumti places

ma klama ma

- “Who goes where?”





# Thoughts About Lojban Applied to Computers

- using lojban for human-computer interaction
- need to assume some level of proficiency in Lojban
- no deep investigation, just ideas for research







# Talk To Your Computer In Lojban!

- authoritative **unambiguous** grammar specified in YACC
  - EBNF version available
- therefore, **easy and complete parsing**
- recognize questions and imperatives
- maybe implement natural language interface with pattern matching?
- specialized subsets of Lojban maybe





# Lojban As I18n Base Language?

- Lojban is easy to produce.
- I18n translates messages from some *base language*.
- problems with incorporating dynamic data
- structure of **neither** base nor target lang. understood
- Lojban beneficial if used as the base language?
  - Autortranslation to (pseudo-) English is already available.





# Lojban For Programming

- use Lojban for naming identifiers
- no spaces needed between words
- questions/imperatives again
- relations?
- functions?





# How To Learn Lojban

- basic grammar: **only a few days**
- you need vocabulary
  - **practice!**
  - flash-card programs available
  - #lojban (Freenode)
  - build a personal word list





# Summary

- Lojban is general, clean, simple, and precise  
⇒ **powerful!**
- Lojban can help us make clearer HCI's  
(Return of the Command Line!)
- Outlook
  - We need Lojban speakers.
  - How can we employ Lojban in programming/machine interfaces?





## Further Reading

- The Paper: [khjk.org/sm-2005-lojban.pdf](http://khjk.org/sm-2005-lojban.pdf)
  - answering ;)
  - narrowing meaning and compound words
  - logic
- See [www.lojban.org](http://www.lojban.org)
  - “Level-0 Book”
  - Beginner’s Lessons
  - “Reference Grammar”

